

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/038,948	01/03/2002	David J. Nelson	83620NAB	2138
7590 12/19/2003			EXAMINER	
Milton S. Sales			DOWLING, WILLIAM C	
Patent Legal Staf		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Eastman Kodak ( 343 State Street	Company		- I'M ER NOMBER	
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			2851 DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					RF			
		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	V			
Office Action Summary		10/038,	948	NELSON ET AL.				
		Examin	r	Art Unit	-			
			C. Dowling	2851				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commor Reply	unication appears on t	he cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	S			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PROPERTY	JNICATION.  ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no element of the statutory period will apply and eply within the all his after the mailing date of this element.	event, however, may a latutory minimum of thir will expire SIX (6) MON pplication to become Al	eply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 03 January 20	<u>002</u> .					
2a) □	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) 53 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-15,18,20-23,26,28-42,46 and 48-52 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 16,17,24,27,43-45 and 47 is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) <u>ro, rr, 24, 27, 46 46 dra</u> Claim(s) are subject to res		requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 Januar</u> Applicant may not request that any of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected.	<u>y 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ acobjection to the drawing(s ding the correction is requ	) be held in abeya uired if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.				
, —	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	a to by the Examiner.	Note the attagne					
12)□ a) 13)□ 3	Acknowledgment is made of a clock All b) Some * c) None of the prior of the prior Certified copies of the prior copies of the prior copies of the prior the prior copies of the prior copi	of: rity documents have be rity documents of the priority of the ce rity domestic priority rity ded in the first senten rity domestic priority rity domestic priority rity domestic priority	een received. een received in Aments have been cule 17.2(a)). ertified copies not under 35 U.S.C ce of the specific application has been	Application No  Treceived in this National Stage of the received.  Solution of the provisional application or in an Application Data of the received.  Solution of the solution of the provision of the pro	plication) a Sheet. pecific			
Attachme	• •							
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152				

Art Unit: 2851

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 15, 18, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 15 is indefinite because it has no proper antecedent for "the single fiducial pattern" in claim 1. Dependency should be changed to claim 14.

Claim 18 is indefinite because there is no proper antecedent for "said driver".

Claim 23 is indefinite because it has no proper antecedent for "said optically transparent plate" in claim 21. Dependency should be changed to claim 22.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2851

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-2, 4, 6-7, 9, 14-15, 20, 21, 32-33, 35, 37-42, 46, 48-49, 51, 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hara et al.

Hara et al. discloses a projection device comprising:
a light source (3);

optical engine means (6R, 6G, 6B) which splits light from the source into red, green, and blue wavelength bands;

spatial light modulators (2R, 2G, 2B) which provide image data and plural fiducial marks (P1, P2);

combiner means (10R, 10G, 10B) which combines the modulated wavelengths;

a diverter (41) which combines a portion of the combined modulated beams to a sensor (42), which senses relative positions of the fiducial marks and sends the information to processing means (71) which determines x, y, and angle deviation errors;

Application/Control Number: 10/038,948 Art Unit: 2851

actuator means comprising screws(55, 56, 57) with motor means (58, 59, 60) which move to resolve the errors, as well as a focus position, by moving the modulators and attached optical holding means.

5. Claims 1-2, 4-9, 14-15, 20, 21,22-23, 32-33, 35-42, 46, 48-49, 51, 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gyoten et al.

Gyoten et al. disclose a projection and alignment arrangement comprising:

a light source (111);

optical engine means (107, 106, 104) which splits light from the source into red, green, and blue wavelength bands;

spatial light modulators (108, 109, 110) which provide image data and pattern fiducial marks which are generated in various manners;

combiner means (102, 103, 105) which combines the modulated wavelengths;

a diverter (112) which directs a portion of the combined modulated beams to a sensor (114), which senses relative positions of the pattern fiducial marks and sends the information to processing means (116) which determines x, y, and angle deviation errors (Figure 29+) or inclination error data (Figure 15+);

Art Unit: 2851

actuator means comprising screws (Figure 16 for inclination and z motion, figure 27 for x, y, rotation) with motor means (511, 512, 513) which move to resolve the errors, as well as a focus position, by moving the modulators and attached optical holding means. Window (404) of holder is an optical transparent plate

As noted in Column 20 lines 53-65, all adjustments may be combined to enact 6 degrees of freedom adjustment.

Further, as noted in column 21 Lines 36-39, the use of such a system may be used in conjunction with DMD type modulators.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 3, 26, 34, 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hara et al.

Art Unit: 2851

Hara et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed but does not specify the use of piezo-electric motors as the motor means.

It is well known in the art to utilize piezo-electric motors means in electronic devices.

Lacking any criticality to the functioning of the invention, it would have been obvious too one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to utilize piezo-electric types motors as the motor means in order to provide stepwise movement of a screw.

Further, it is well known to move two panels relative to a fixed third panel when aligning modulator panels, and official notice is given of this fact.

As regards claims 10-13, and 28-31, both continuous and period determination of errors is deemed to be well within the level of ordinary skill because one of ordinary skill could have programmed the microprocessor to process the information as often as desired.

8. Claims 3, 34, 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gyoten et al.

Gyoten et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed but does not specify the use of piezo-electric motors as the motor means.

Art Unit: 2851

It is well known in the art to utilize piezo-electric motors means in electronic devices.

Lacking any criticality to the functioning of the invention, it would have been obvious too one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to utilize piezo-electric types motors as the motor means in order to provide stepwise movement of a screw.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claim 18 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. Claims 16-17, 19, 24-25, 27, 43-45, 47 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. Claim 53 is allowed.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Dowling whose telephone number is 703-308-1287. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russ Adams can be

Art Unit: 2851

reached on 703-308-2847. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9318.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-3431.

William C. Dowling
Primary Examiner

Page 8

Art Unit 2851

wcd